



# **Technical Report No. 71**

## **Emerging Methods for Virus Detection**

## **PDA Emerging Methods for Virus Detection Technical Report Team**

---

### **Authors**

---

**Arifa S. Khan**, Ph.D., U.S. FDA/CBER, Co-Leader

**Kathryn E. King**, Ph.D., U.S. FDA/CDER, Co-Leader

**Kerstin Brack**, Ph.D., Charles River Laboratories

**Jean-Pol Cassart**, Ph.D., GSK Vaccines

**Charles Chiu**, MD, Ph.D., University of California, San Francisco

**Houman Dehghani**, Ph.D., Amgen

**Paul Duncan**, Ph.D., Merck & Co., Inc.

**Crystal Jaing**, Ph.D., Lawrence Livermore National Lab

**John Kolman**, Ph.D., BioReliance

**David Munroe**, Ph.D., SAIC-Frederick

**Adam Palermo**, Ph.D., Genzyme

**Mark Plavsic**, Ph.D., Genzyme

**Rangarajan Sampath**, Ph.D., Abbott

**Tom Slezak**, Lawrence Livermore National Lab

**Garry Takle**, Ph.D., Merck & Co., Inc.

**Lanyn P. Taliaferro**, Ph.D., U.S. FDA/CBER

**Emiliano Toso**, Ph.D., EMD Serono

**Dominick Vacante**, Ph.D., Janssen R&D

**Hannelore Willkommen**, Ph.D., RBS Consulting

### **Contributors**

---

**Laura Barberis**, Ph.D., EMD Serono

**Martin Wisner**, Ph.D., BioReliance

**DISCLAIMER:** The findings and conclusions in this article should not be construed to represent the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's views or policy. The content and views expressed in this technical report are those of the individual authors and are not necessarily views of the organization, regulatory authority, or governmental agency they represent. The mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. government.

# Emerging Methods for Virus Detection

**Technical Report No. 71**

ISBN: 978-0-939459-77-9

© 2015 Parenteral Drug Association, Inc.

All rights reserved.



# Table of Contents

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>	6.2 Benefits and Limitations .....	16
1.1 Purpose .....	2	6.3 Biopharmaceutical Applications .....	17
1.2 Scope .....	2	6.3.1 General Microorganism Detection .....	17
<b>2.0 GLOSSARY OF TERMS .....</b>	<b>3</b>	6.3.2 Parvovirus Detection.....	18
<b>3.0 CONVENTIONAL VIRUS DETECTION METHODS ..</b>	<b>4</b>	6.3.3 Unknown Virus Detection .....	20
3.1 Assays for Routine Viral Testing .....	4	6.3.4 General Virus Detection .....	21
3.1.1 In Vivo Assays .....	4	<b>7.0 MASSIVELY PARALLEL SEQUENCING .....</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1.1.1 Benefits and Limitations .....	5	7.1 Description of the Method.....	23
3.1.2 Cell-Based In Vitro Assays for General Adventitious Viruses.....	5	7.1.1 Sample Preparation .....	23
3.1.2.1 Benefits and Limitations .....	5	7.1.2 Sequencing Platforms.....	24
3.1.3 Cell-Based In Vitro Assays for Detection of Specific Animal Viruses .....	6	7.1.3 Data Analysis .....	25
3.1.3.1 Benefits and Limitations .....	6	7.2 Benefits and Limitations .....	27
3.1.4 Transmission Electron Microscopy .....	6	7.3 Biopharmaceutical Applications .....	28
3.1.4.1 Benefits and Limitations .....	6	7.3.1 Case Studies.....	29
3.1.5 Retrovirus Detection Assays .....	7	<b>8.0 BROAD-SPECTRUM MICROBIAL DETECTION MICROARRAYS.....</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1.6 PCR Assays.....	8	8.1 Description of the Method.....	31
3.1.6.1 Benefits and Limitations .....	9	8.1.1 Overview of Different Pathogen Detection Microarrays .....	32
3.2 Additional Assays for Novel Cell Substrates.....	9	8.1.1.1 ViroChip.....	32
<b>4.0 THE NEED FOR NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR VIRUS DETECTION.....</b>	<b>10</b>	8.1.1.2 GreeneChip.....	32
<b>5.0 DEGENERATE AND CONSENSUS PCR ASSAYS ..</b>	<b>11</b>	8.1.1.3 Lawrence Livermore Microbial Detection Array (LLMDA) .....	33
5.1 Description of the Method .....	11	8.2 Benefits and Limitations of Microarrays .....	34
5.1.1 Consensus Sequence PCR Assays .....	12	8.3 Biopharmaceutical Applications .....	35
5.1.2 Universal Amplification.....	12	<b>9.0 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS AND SUMMARY...36</b>	
5.2 Benefits and Limitations .....	13	9.1 Particular Considerations for Sample Types and Preparation .....	38
5.3 Biopharmaceutical Applications .....	13	9.2 Considerations for Bioinformatics.....	38
<b>6.0 BROAD-RANGE PCR WITH ELECTROSPRAY IONIZATION MASS SPECTROMETRY (PCR/ESI-MS) .....</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10.0 CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>40</b>
6.1 Description of Method.....	15	<b>11.0 REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>41</b>
6.1.1 Sample Preparation .....	16		
6.1.2 Broad Virus Assays .....	16		
6.1.3 Data Analysis .....	16		

## FIGURES AND TABLES INDEX

<b>Table 1.0-1</b>	Viruses Detected in Biological Product Manufacturing .....	2	<b>Table 6.3.3-2</b>	Base-Composition Signature Analysis of BTV Amplicons.....	21
<b>Table 3.1.5-1</b>	Retrovirus Detection Assays.....	8	<b>Table 6.3.4-1</b>	Detection of Spiked Known Viruses and Endogenous Viruses Using Broad Viral Detection Panels.....	22
<b>Table 5.2-1</b>	Benefits and Limitations of Degenerate PCR Assays .....	13	<b>Table 7.0-1</b>	Examples of MPS Platforms.....	23
<b>Figure 6.1-1</b>	Schematic of the PCR/ESI-MS Approach for Pathogen Detection .....	15	<b>Table 7.1.2-1</b>	Characteristics of Available MPS Platforms .....	24
<b>Table 6.2-1</b>	Benefits and Limitations of PCR/ESI-MS.....	17	<b>Table 7.1.2-2</b>	Impact of Sequence Length on BLASTn Output .....	25
<b>Table 6.3.1-1</b>	PCR/ESI-MS Detection and Identification of Challenge Organisms .....	18	<b>Table 7.2-1</b>	Benefits and Limitations of MPS.....	28
<b>Figure 6.3.2-1</b>	Detection of MMV Using a Parvovirus Kit.....	19	<b>Figure 7.3.1-1</b>	Relationship of Virus Concentration to Read Count .....	30
<b>Figure 6.3.2-2</b>	Detection of MMV in Infected CHO Cell Culture over the Course of 12 Days Using an MMV-Specific Real-Time PCR and the Biosensor System.....	20	<b>Table 8.2-1</b>	Benefits and Limitations of Microarrays .....	35
<b>Table 6.3.3-1</b>	Detection of Bluetongue Virus–Like (BTV) Sequences Using Base-Composition Signatures in Four Infected Cell Lines .....	21	<b>Table 9.0-1</b>	Summary of Adventitious Virus Detection Methods .....	36

## 1.0 Introduction

The potential for extraneous microbial agents to be present in biological medicines was recognized as early as the late nineteenth century, resulting in enactment of the 1902 Biologics Control Act in the United States. More rigorous federal regulations for the development and testing of biological products were passed following the well-publicized discovery of SV40 in poliovirus vaccines used in the 1950s (1,2).

International and national government organizations and regulatory agencies provide both recommendations and legal requirements, or codes, to manufacturers for developing a suitable testing design to ensure the safety and purity of their products. In the United States, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) publishes recommendations through Guidance for Industry and Points to Consider documents as well as legal requirements through the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), which defines and describes testing of biological medicinal products. In the European Union, the European Pharmacopoeia (PhEur) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), together with the various national governing bodies, publish documents describing required testing practices and expectations. Throughout the rest of the world, attempts have been made to harmonize expectations and testing paradigms, with the goal of assuring that products do not contain infectious adventitious agents. The International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) has made significant progress in establishing worldwide, harmonized best practices for approaches to adventitious agent detection and risk mitigation (3,4). Additionally, the World Health Organization (WHO) has updated TRS 878, Annex 1, *Recommendations for the Evaluation of Animal Cell Cultures as Substrates for the Manufacture of Biological Medicinal Products and for the Characterization of Cell Banks* (5).

Despite the required virus testing of biologic drugs, rare cases of introduction of adventitious agents into a production stream or product have been reported (Table 1.0-1). Of note, the majority of these contamination events were traced to raw materials used during manufacturing. Such events have served to refocus the effort to establish more effective surveillance programs, mitigation strategies, and use methods for enhancing adventitious agent detection. Although current methods for detecting adventitious viruses have provided a generally good safety record of biological medicines over the years, these methods may not detect latent or occult viruses, novel viruses, and even some known viruses. Emerging nucleic based methods have demonstrated potential to fill these gaps for virus detection.